



## Screen Mesh Selection Guide: Match Material Type to Aperture Size for 30% Higher Efficiency

Screen mesh selection guide: match material type, aperture size & wire diameter for 30% higher efficiency. Complete guide for aggregate plants.

**Author:** Sivabalan  
Selvarajan

**Published:** November 5,  
2025

**Reading  
Time:** 7  
minutes

Selecting the wrong screen mesh can reduce screening efficiency by 40% and increase operating costs significantly. This comprehensive guide from Nesans engineers explains how to optimize mesh selection for different materials and applications.

### Understanding Screening Fundamentals

---

Screening efficiency depends on three critical factors:

- **Screen Area:** Larger area = higher capacity and efficiency
- **Open Area:** Percentage of mesh that's open for material passage
- **Stratification:** How quickly fine particles reach the screen surface

**Key Principle:** Fines must stratify (move to bottom) before they can pass through mesh openings. This requires adequate retention time on the deck.

# Mesh Material Types

---

## 1. Woven Wire Mesh

**Best for:** Fine separations (down to 0.075mm), high precision requirements

- **Material:** High carbon steel, stainless steel (304/316), manganese steel
- **Open Area:** 40-60% typically
- **Life Expectancy:** 3-6 months for abrasive materials, 12-18 months for less abrasive
- **Advantages:** Precise aperture sizes, good for wet screening, handles sticky materials well
- **Disadvantages:** Lower wear resistance, can blind easily, limited open area

**Applications:** Sand production (below 4mm), wet screening, fine aggregate sizing

## 2. Polyurethane (PU) Modular Panels

**Best for:** Abrasive materials, scalping applications, high capacity requirements

- **Open Area:** 45-65% (significantly higher than woven wire)
- **Life Expectancy:** 6-24 months depending on material abrasiveness
- **Advantages:** 4-8x longer life than wire, lighter weight, self-cleaning, high open area
- **Disadvantages:** Higher initial cost, limited to apertures above 3mm, temperature sensitive

**Applications:** Granite/basalt screening, scalping decks, high-tonnage operations

## 3. Rubber Modular Panels

**Best for:** Impact resistance, heavy-duty scalping, wet screening

- **Open Area:** 35-50%
- **Life Expectancy:** 12-36 months for scalping applications
- **Advantages:** Excellent impact resistance, quiet operation, handles wet material well

- **Disadvantages:** Lower open area than PU, heavier, less suitable for fine separations

**Applications:** Primary scalping, ROM (Run of Mine) screening, coal and iron ore

## 4. Perforated Plate (Punch Plate)

**Best for:** Scalping, heavy-duty applications, oversize material handling

- **Material:** Mild steel, AR400/AR450 abrasion-resistant steel, stainless steel
- **Open Area:** 30-45%
- **Life Expectancy:** 12-48 months depending on thickness and material
- **Advantages:** Extremely durable, handles large lumps, low maintenance
- **Disadvantages:** Limited to large apertures (10mm+), lower efficiency, heavier

**Applications:** Primary screening, oversize removal, protecting finer decks

## Aperture Size Selection by Application

APPLICATION	APERTURE RANGE	RECOMMENDED MATERIAL	EXPECTED EFFICIENCY
Dust & Fines (0-1mm)	0.5-1mm	Woven wire (fine mesh)	60-75%
Manufactured Sand (0-4.75mm)	4.75mm	Woven wire or fine PU	75-85%
Fine Aggregate (4-10mm)	10mm	Polyurethane panels	85-92%
Coarse Aggregate (10-20mm)	20mm	Polyurethane panels	90-95%
Larger Aggregate (20-40mm)	40mm	PU or rubber panels	92-96%
Scalping (40mm+)	50-100mm	Rubber or perforated plate	95-98%

## Wire Diameter and Open Area Calculation

---

The relationship between wire diameter and open area is critical for performance:

### Formula for Open Area:

$$\text{Open Area \%} = \left[ \frac{\text{Aperture Size}}{\text{Aperture Size} + \text{Wire Diameter}} \right]^2 \times 100$$

### Example Calculation:

For 10mm aperture with 2mm wire diameter:

- Open Area =  $[10 / (10 + 2)]^2 \times 100$
- Open Area =  $[10 / 12]^2 \times 100$
- Open Area =  $0.833^2 \times 100 = 69.4\%$

**Key Insight:** Thinner wire increases open area but reduces wear life. For abrasive materials like granite, use thicker wire even though it reduces open area slightly.

## Material-Specific Recommendations

---

### Granite and Basalt (Highly Abrasive)

- **Top Deck (Scalping):** 50-80mm rubber panels or AR450 punch plate
- **Middle Deck (20-40mm):** Polyurethane panels with 8-10mm thickness
- **Bottom Deck (0-20mm):** PU panels or manganese steel woven wire (for finer cuts)
- **Expected Life:** Top deck 18-24 months, middle 12-18 months, bottom 6-12 months

### Limestone (Moderately Abrasive)

- **Top Deck:** Polyurethane panels or perforated plate
- **Middle/Bottom Decks:** Standard woven wire or medium-duty PU
- **Expected Life:** Top deck 24-36 months, lower decks 12-24 months

## River Gravel (Low Abrasion)

- **All Decks:** Standard woven wire mesh acceptable
- **Alternative:** Polyurethane for higher throughput
- **Expected Life:** 18-36 months for all decks

## Recycled Concrete (Variable Abrasion, Contamination Risk)

- **Top Deck:** Heavy-duty rubber panels (handles rebar and contaminants)
- **Lower Decks:** Polyurethane (watch for wire buildup)
- **Special Consideration:** Use magnetic separators upstream to remove rebar

## Deck Configuration Strategies

---

### Single Deck Configuration

**Use when:** Only need one size cut, limited space, low capacity

- Simple operation and maintenance
- Lower capital cost
- Limited flexibility

### Two Deck Configuration (Most Common)

**Use when:** Need 3 product sizes, moderate capacity

- **Top Deck:** Typically 2-3x larger aperture than bottom
- **Bottom Deck:** Final cut size
- **Example:** Top 40mm, Bottom 10mm produces 3 products: +40mm, 10-40mm, -10mm

### Three Deck Configuration

**Use when:** Need 4 product sizes, high capacity, multiple markets

- **Deck Ratio:** Use 3:2:1 ratio (e.g., 60mm:40mm:20mm)
- **Advantage:** Higher overall efficiency as each deck handles narrower size range

- **Consideration:** Requires taller headroom and more maintenance

## Aperture Shape: Square vs. Rectangular

---

### Square Apertures

- **Use for:** Producing cubical products, general sizing
- **Advantage:** Consistent product shape, easier to calculate efficiency
- **Typical Ratio:** 1:1

### Rectangular (Slotted) Apertures

- **Use for:** Dewatering, removing flat/elongated particles, high capacity
- **Advantage:** 30-40% higher capacity, better for sticky materials
- **Typical Ratio:** 2:1 or 3:1 (length:width)
- **Example:** 20mm x 10mm slots for 10mm product dewatering

## Blinding and Pegging Prevention

---

Blinding (apertures blocked by material) reduces efficiency by up to 60%. Prevention strategies:

### For Wet or Sticky Materials:

- Use self-cleaning polyurethane panels
- Install spray bars for water washing (increases moisture but cleans mesh)
- Use larger apertures if specification allows
- Increase screen angle from 15° to 20-25°

### For Near-Size Particles:

- Near-size particles (90-110% of aperture size) cause pegging
- Use two-stage crushing to reduce near-size percentage
- Consider ball-cleaning systems beneath deck

- Use modular panels with tapered apertures (wider on bottom)

## Screen Loading Optimization

---

Proper loading is crucial for efficiency:

### Material Depth on Screen:

- **Optimal Depth:** 3-4 times the largest aperture size
- **Example:** For 20mm aperture, maintain 60-80mm material depth
- **Too Shallow:** Poor stratification, lower capacity utilization
- **Too Deep:** Insufficient retention time, lower efficiency, increased wear

### Feed Distribution:

- Material should enter screen across full width
- Use chutes with adjustable baffles to spread feed evenly
- Avoid dumping material in center - causes tracking issues and uneven wear

## Tension and Installation

---

Proper mesh tension prevents premature failure:

- **Woven Wire:** Stretch to 2-3% beyond relaxed length; prevents sagging and flapping
- **Modular Panels:** Ensure proper side tensioning to prevent gaps; follow manufacturer torque specs
- **Check After Break-In:** Re-tension after first 24 hours of operation; mesh stretches initially
- **Quarterly Checks:** Monitor tension and adjust as needed

## Cost vs. Performance Analysis

MESH TYPE	INITIAL COST (₹/ M²)	LIFE (MONTHS)	COST PER MONTH	EFFICIENCY
Mild Steel Wire	₹2,000-4,000	3-6	₹500-800	75-85%
Manganese Steel Wire	₹5,000-8,000	6-12	₹650-700	80-88%
Polyurethane Panels	₹8,000-15,000	12-24	₹500-650	85-92%
Rubber Panels	₹6,000-12,000	18-36	₹280-400	80-88%

**Analysis:** While polyurethane has highest initial cost, it offers best balance of life, efficiency, and total cost of ownership for most applications.

## Troubleshooting Common Issues

### Low Screening Efficiency

- **Check:** Material depth, feed rate, screen angle, mesh condition
- **Solution:** Reduce feed rate by 20%, check for blinding, verify proper stroke and frequency

### Excessive Mesh Wear

- **Check:** Material abrasiveness, feed point location, screen angle
- **Solution:** Upgrade to harder mesh material, redistribute feed, install wear liners

### Product Contamination

- **Check:** Mesh tension, aperture size accuracy, feed composition
- **Solution:** Re-tension mesh, verify aperture sizes, consider pre-screening

## Conclusion

---

Proper screen mesh selection can improve efficiency by 30% and reduce operating costs significantly. Key takeaways:

- Match mesh material to material abrasiveness and application
- Optimize open area for maximum throughput while maintaining precision
- Prevent blinding through proper design and maintenance
- Consider total cost of ownership, not just initial price

**Need help selecting the right mesh for your application?** Contact Nesans' technical team for a free screening analysis. We'll evaluate your material, production requirements, and recommend the optimal mesh configuration for maximum efficiency and lowest cost per ton.

---

**Topics:**

#Maintenance

#Screening