



**NESANS**

**TECHNICAL GUIDES**

# Crushing Plant Optimization: 10 Quick Wins for Immediate Production Improvement

Implement quick optimization wins for immediate crushing plant improvement. Low-cost changes that boost production 10-20% without major investment.

**Author:** Sivabalan  
Selvarajan

**Published:** February 24,  
2026

**Reading Time:** 15  
minutes

Every crushing plant manager knows the feeling—production targets slipping, costs climbing, and pressure mounting for immediate improvements. While major capital investments and comprehensive overhauls deliver substantial gains, they require significant planning, budget approval, and extended timelines. What you need right now are quick wins—practical adjustments that your maintenance team can implement within hours or days, delivering measurable production improvements with minimal investment.

This comprehensive guide presents 10 field-proven optimization strategies that Indian crushing plant operators have successfully implemented to achieve 15-40% production improvements. Each strategy includes step-by-step implementation procedures, expected results, and common pitfalls to avoid. These aren't theoretical concepts—they're battle-tested techniques from operations across Tamil Nadu, Karnataka, Andhra Pradesh, and other crushing-intensive regions.

# Understanding Plant Efficiency: Where Does Production Go?

Before implementing quick wins, understanding where production losses occur helps prioritize efforts. A typical 200 TPH crushing plant operating in India faces these common efficiency drains:

LOSS CATEGORY	TYPICAL IMPACT	RECOVERY POTENTIAL	IMPLEMENTATION EFFORT
Feed system interruptions	8-15% capacity loss	60-80% recoverable	Low - adjustments only
Crusher choking/bridging	10-20% capacity loss	70-90% recoverable	Low - operational changes
Screen blinding/inefficiency	5-12% capacity loss	80-95% recoverable	Medium - maintenance required
Conveyor stoppages	3-8% capacity loss	90% recoverable	Low - inspection/adjustment
Electrical trips/resets	2-5% capacity loss	80% recoverable	Low - setting adjustments
Startup/shutdown procedures	3-6% capacity loss	50-70% recoverable	Low - procedural changes
Operator inefficiency	5-15% capacity loss	60-80% recoverable	Medium - training required

## Quick Win #1: Optimize Jaw Crusher Feed Distribution

The single most impactful quick win in most crushing plants involves correcting improper jaw crusher feeding. Excavator operators naturally deposit material at the center of the hopper, creating concentrated feeding that causes bridging, uneven jaw wear, and reduced throughput.

### The Problem in Detail

When material concentrates at the hopper center:

- Only 40-60% of the jaw crusher width processes material

- Center-fed material bridges across the crushing chamber
- Jaw plates wear unevenly—center wearing 3x faster than edges
- Actual throughput drops to 60-70% of rated capacity
- Power consumption per ton increases by 15-25%

## Implementation Procedure

### Step 1: Install Visual Feeding Guides

Mark the hopper with three distinct feeding zones using painted lines or welded markers visible to excavator operators. The zones should divide the hopper width into thirds.

### Step 2: Train Excavator Operators

Spend 30 minutes with each operator explaining the feeding rotation: Left zone → Center zone → Right zone → repeat. Demonstrate how proper feeding affects crusher performance.

### Step 3: Install Monitoring

Position a camera or mirror allowing the control room operator to observe feeding patterns. Create a simple checklist for hourly feeding pattern verification.

### Step 4: Track Results

Monitor these metrics for two weeks before and after implementation:

- Hourly tonnage (production records)
- Power consumption per ton (meter readings)
- Bridging incidents per shift
- Jaw plate wear pattern (weekly measurement)

## Expected Results

METRIC	BEFORE OPTIMIZATION	AFTER OPTIMIZATION	IMPROVEMENT
Effective capacity utilization	55-65%	85-95%	+30-40%
Bridging incidents per shift	8-15	1-3	-80%

METRIC	BEFORE OPTIMIZATION	AFTER OPTIMIZATION	IMPROVEMENT
Power consumption (kWh/ton)	2.8-3.2	2.2-2.5	-20%
Jaw plate life	Baseline	+25-35%	Extended

## Quick Win #2: Calibrate Feeder Speed to Crusher Capacity

Most vibrating feeders operate at factory default settings, which rarely match actual crusher capacity or feed material characteristics. Proper speed calibration alone can increase throughput 10-20% while reducing crusher stress.

### The Calibration Procedure

#### Step 1: Establish Baseline

Record current feeder speed setting (usually displayed in Hz or RPM). Measure actual feed rate by timing conveyor belt loads—count how long a marked section of belt takes to deliver material to the crusher.

#### Step 2: Observe Crusher Loading

Watch the crusher for 30 minutes at current settings:

- If crusher motor amperage fluctuates wildly ( $\pm 30\%$ ): Feeder speed too variable
- If amperage consistently below 70% of rated: Feeder too slow
- If crusher stalls or motor trips: Feeder too fast
- Ideal: Steady amperage at 75-85% of rated, consistent crushing sound

#### Step 3: Incremental Adjustment

Adjust feeder speed in 5% increments. After each adjustment, observe for 15 minutes before further changes. Target steady crusher operation at 80% motor load.

#### Step 4: Document Optimal Settings

Record optimal feeder settings for different material conditions:

MATERIAL CONDITION	FEEDER SPEED SETTING	EXPECTED THROUGHPUT
Dry, well-fragmented rock	Base + 10%	Maximum rated
Normal quarry blend	Base setting	90% of rated
Wet or sticky material	Base - 15%	75% of rated
Large boulder-heavy feed	Base - 20%	70% of rated

## Quick Win #3: Correct Screen Deck Angle and Tension

Vibrating screens lose 20-40% efficiency when deck angle drifts or screen media tension relaxes. A two-hour inspection and adjustment session can restore full screening capacity.

### Inspection Procedure

#### Angle Verification:

1. Stop the screen and lock out power
2. Place a digital inclinometer on the deck frame (not on screen media)
3. Measure angle at feed end, center, and discharge end
4. Compare to manufacturer specification (typically 15-20° for inclined screens)
5. Variation should be less than 0.5° across the deck

#### Tension Verification:

1. Press firmly on screen media at multiple points
2. Properly tensioned media deflects 10-15mm under 20kg pressure
3. Loose media "drums" when struck with a rubber mallet
4. Over-tensioned media feels rigid and may show stretched openings

### Adjustment Procedures

#### Angle Correction:

Adjust support structure shims to achieve correct angle. For screens mounted on springs, check spring condition—broken or fatigued springs cause angle drift. Replace springs in matched sets.

### Tension Correction:

For hook-strip screens, tighten tensioning bolts in a cross pattern, checking tension after each adjustment. For modular screens, verify all modules are properly seated and locking pins are engaged.

## Expected Improvements

ISSUE CORRECTED	EFFICIENCY GAIN	IMPACT ON PRODUCTION
Angle off by 2-3°	+15-25%	Reduced oversize in fines, better separation
Loose screen media	+10-20%	Reduced blinding, consistent apertures
Uneven tension across deck	+8-15%	Uniform material flow, extended media life

## Quick Win #4: Eliminate Conveyor Belt Tracking Issues

Belt mistracking causes spillage, edge damage, and production interruptions. Most tracking problems stem from just 3-4 common causes, all correctable in under an hour.

### Systematic Tracking Correction

#### Step 1: Clean the System

Before any adjustment, clean all pulleys, idlers, and belt surfaces. Material buildup is the #1 cause of mistracking. Pay special attention to return idlers where material accumulates on the dirty side.

#### Step 2: Check Belt Splice

Examine the belt splice for square alignment. A splice that's off-square by just 5mm can cause persistent mistracking. If the splice is worn or uneven, plan for replacement—no amount of idler adjustment will fully correct a bad splice.

### Step 3: Verify Pulley Alignment

Using a string line or laser alignment tool, verify that head and tail pulleys are perpendicular to the conveyor centerline. Pulley misalignment is the second most common cause of tracking problems.

### Step 4: Adjust Training Idlers

If dedicated training idlers are installed, adjust them to steer the belt. Remember: Tilt the idler end in the direction you want the belt to move. Make small adjustments (5mm maximum) and observe for several belt revolutions before further adjustment.

## Tracking Troubleshooting Guide

SYMPTOM	LIKELY CAUSE	CORRECTION
Belt tracks off at one specific point	Idler misalignment at that location	Realign that idler set
Belt wanders continuously	Off-square splice or belt camber	Replace splice or belt
Belt tracks off only when loaded	Off-center loading	Adjust loading chute or skirts
Belt tracks off only when empty	Idler or pulley crown worn	Replace crowned components

## Quick Win #5: Optimize Crusher Closed-Side Setting

The closed-side setting (CSS) on cone and jaw crushers directly controls product gradation and throughput. Many plants operate with CSS settings unchanged from installation, missing opportunities for optimization.

### CSS Optimization Process

#### Step 1: Measure Current CSS

For cone crushers, use lead ball or modeling clay method: Drop a soft lead ball through the crushing chamber, recover it, and measure the thinnest dimension. For jaw crushers, measure the gap at the closest point during the closed stroke.

#### Step 2: Analyze Current Product

Collect and sieve a representative sample of crusher product. Compare actual gradation to target specifications. Common findings:

- Excessive fines (< 4.75mm): CSS may be too tight
- Excessive oversize requiring recirculation: CSS may be too wide
- Good gradation but low tonnage: CSS may be too tight

### Step 3: Calculate Optimal CSS

For most Indian aggregate specifications (IS 383 compliant), optimal CSS relates to maximum product size:

- For 20mm maximum product: CSS = 18-22mm
- For 12mm maximum product: CSS = 10-13mm
- For manufactured sand: CSS = 8-10mm (jaw/cone stage before VSI)

### Step 4: Implement and Monitor

Adjust CSS in 2-3mm increments. After each adjustment, collect product samples and verify gradation before further changes. Document the relationship between CSS and product gradation for future reference.

## CSS Impact on Production

CSS CHANGE	THROUGHPUT IMPACT	PRODUCT IMPACT	POWER IMPACT
Tighten 5mm	-15 to -25%	Finer gradation, more fines	+10-15%
Open 5mm	+15 to +25%	Coarser gradation, more oversize	-10-15%
Optimize to target	Variable	Meet specifications efficiently	Optimal

## Quick Win #6: Implement Proper Crusher Choke Feeding

Choke feeding—maintaining a consistent material level in the crushing chamber—dramatically improves cone crusher efficiency. Many plants under-feed their cone crushers, leaving capacity unused.

## Choke Feeding Benefits

- Increased throughput (15-30% improvement typical)
- Better product shape from inter-particle crushing
- More consistent gradation
- Reduced liner wear per ton processed
- Lower power consumption per ton

## Implementation Steps

### Step 1: Verify Crusher Can Handle Choke Feeding

Check that the crusher oil temperature stays within limits (typically < 60°C) at increased loads. Verify motor amperage capacity has headroom (should operate at 70-85% of rated for sustained choke feeding).

### Step 2: Increase Feed Rate Gradually

Raise feeder speed in 5% increments while monitoring crusher behavior. Target a consistent material level visible in the feed hopper—material should be waiting to enter the crushing chamber, not the chamber waiting for material.

### Step 3: Install Level Indicators

Simple visual markers on the feed hopper help operators maintain consistent levels. Paint three horizontal bands: Red (too low), Yellow (target range), Green (maximum safe level).

### Step 4: Train Operators on Choke Feeding

Explain that the goal is steady, consistent feeding rather than maximum speed. Operators should watch for warning signs of over-feeding: Power spikes, unusual sounds, or material backing up in the feed system.

## Quick Win #7: Fix Air Leaks and Dust Collection Inefficiencies

Dust collection systems lose 30-50% efficiency due to air leaks at joints, worn seals, and damaged ductwork. Restoring dust collection improves working conditions, reduces equipment wear, and often increases production by reducing material loss.

### Air Leak Detection

#### Visual Method:

With the dust collection system running, release smoke (from a smoke pen or incense stick) near all duct joints, access doors, and connections. Smoke drawn into the system indicates air leaks stealing collection capacity.

#### Pressure Method:

Measure static pressure at the baghouse inlet and at pickup points. Excessive pressure drop between points indicates leaks or blockages in that duct section.

### Common Leak Points and Fixes

LOCATION	TYPICAL ISSUE	QUICK FIX
Duct joints	Gaps from thermal expansion	Seal with high-temp silicone or tape
Access doors	Worn gaskets	Replace gaskets or add weatherstrip
Flexible connections	Cracked or torn hoses	Replace hose sections
Screen enclosures	Panel gaps	Adjust panels, add seals
Conveyor transfer points	Missing or damaged curtains	Replace rubber curtains

### Expected Results

Fixing air leaks typically improves dust collection efficiency by 25-40%, reduces visible dust emissions, and can recover 0.5-1.5% of production that was previously lost as airborne fines.

## Quick Win #8: Streamline Startup and Shutdown Procedures

Many plants lose 15-30 minutes of productive time daily through inefficient startup and shutdown procedures. Optimizing these sequences can add an hour or more of production time per shift.

### Optimized Startup Sequence

#### Phase 1: Pre-Start Checks (5 minutes maximum)

1. Visual inspection of conveyor belts during walk-around
2. Oil level and pressure verification at crushers
3. Check that all guards are in place
4. Verify personnel locations (safety count)

#### Phase 2: Equipment Start (2 minutes)

1. Start dust collection system
2. Start all conveyors simultaneously (working from discharge back to feed)
3. Start screens
4. Start secondary/tertiary crushers
5. Start primary crusher
6. Start feeder at reduced speed

#### Phase 3: Production Ramp-Up (3 minutes)

1. Gradually increase feeder speed to operating rate
2. Verify all equipment running normally
3. Confirm material flow through the system

### Common Startup Time Wasters

TIME WASTER	TIME LOST	SOLUTION
Sequential conveyor starts	5-8 minutes	Start all conveyors simultaneously
Extended warm-up at idle	10-15 minutes	Start feeding within 2 minutes if oil is warm

TIME WASTER	TIME LOST	SOLUTION
Equipment-by-equipment checks	15-20 minutes	Do visual checks during single walk-around
Waiting for full system before feeding	5-10 minutes	Start feeding as soon as conveyors run

## Quick Win #9: Reduce Unplanned Stoppages with Basic Monitoring

Simple monitoring practices catch developing problems before they cause unplanned shutdowns. Most monitoring requires no capital investment—just systematic observation.

### Daily Monitoring Checklist

EQUIPMENT	WHAT TO MONITOR	WARNING SIGN	ACTION REQUIRED
Crushers	Oil temperature	>55°C	Check oil level, cooler function
Crushers	Operating amperage	Fluctuation >20%	Check feed consistency
Conveyors	Motor temperature	Hot to touch	Check alignment, loading
Screens	Vibration amplitude	Uneven or reduced	Check springs, bearings
Vibrators	Bearing noise	Grinding or squealing	Schedule bearing replacement
Drives	Belt tension/alignment	Slipping or squealing	Adjust tension

### Weekly Monitoring Tasks

- Check crusher liner thickness at 4 points per jaw/mantle
- Verify screen media tension and condition
- Inspect conveyor belt edges and splices
- Check dust collector pressure drop
- Review electrical panel for tripped breakers or warning lights

## Impact of Systematic Monitoring

Plants implementing basic daily monitoring typically reduce unplanned stoppages by 40-60%. Each prevented stoppage saves 30-90 minutes of production time plus associated restart inefficiencies.

## Quick Win #10: Eliminate Production Recording Errors

---

Accurate production recording seems administrative, but it directly impacts optimization efforts. If you don't know your true production, you can't measure improvements.

### Common Recording Errors

ERROR TYPE	IMPACT	CORRECTION
Inconsistent truck counting	±5-15% production uncertainty	Standardize counting procedures
Estimated truck loads	±10-20% tonnage uncertainty	Periodic weighbridge calibration
Not recording downtime	Can't identify loss sources	Log all stoppages >5 minutes
Delayed recording	Memory errors compound	Real-time recording requirement

### Simple Production Tracking System

Implement a basic shift log capturing:

1. Start time and end time of production
2. Total truck loads by product type
3. All stoppages >5 minutes with cause
4. Any equipment issues observed
5. Material quality observations

Review logs weekly to identify patterns. Common findings include:

- Specific times of day with lower production (investigate causes)
- Recurring stoppages from the same equipment (schedule maintenance)

- Variation between shifts (standardize procedures)

## Implementation Priority Matrix

Not all quick wins deliver equal value. Prioritize based on your plant's specific situation:

QUICK WIN	IMPLEMENTATION TIME	EXPECTED IMPACT	PRIORITY (1-10)
#1: Feed distribution	2-4 hours	+15-25% throughput	10 (highest)
#6: Choke feeding	1-2 hours	+15-30% throughput	9
#2: Feeder calibration	2-3 hours	+10-20% throughput	8
#5: CSS optimization	2-4 hours	+10-15% throughput	8
#3: Screen adjustment	2-3 hours	+10-25% efficiency	7
#8: Startup procedures	1 hour (training)	+30-60 min/shift	7
#4: Belt tracking	1-2 hours	Reduced spillage/stops	6
#9: Basic monitoring	Ongoing	-40-60% unplanned stops	6
#7: Dust collection	2-4 hours	+0.5-1.5% material recovery	5
#10: Production recording	Ongoing	Enables other improvements	5

## Measuring Success: Before and After Tracking

To demonstrate value from quick wins, establish baseline measurements before implementing changes:

### Key Performance Indicators

- **Hourly tonnage:** Track total production divided by operating hours
- **Operating hours per shift:** Total shift time minus all stoppages

- **Power consumption per ton:** kWh meter reading divided by tonnage
- **Product quality compliance:** Percentage of samples meeting specifications
- **Unplanned stoppages:** Count and duration of unexpected shutdowns

## Tracking Template

METRIC	WEEK BEFORE	WEEK 1	WEEK 2	WEEK 3	WEEK 4
Daily production (tons)					
Operating hours					
Tons per operating hour					
kWh per ton					
Unplanned stoppages (count)					
Stoppage duration (minutes)					

## Sustaining Improvements

---

Quick wins deliver immediate results, but sustaining those gains requires ongoing attention:

### Daily Practices

- Brief shift-start meeting to review yesterday's production and today's focus
- Operator walk-around with monitoring checklist
- Production recording at regular intervals

### Weekly Reviews

- Compare production metrics to baseline and targets
- Review stoppage log for patterns
- Identify equipment requiring attention

## Monthly Analysis

- Calculate month-over-month production trends
- Assess wear part consumption and costs
- Plan preventive maintenance for the coming month

## Real-World Results from Indian Crushing Operations

---

Implementation results from crushing plants that applied these quick wins systematically:

### Case Study: 150 TPH Aggregate Plant, Tamil Nadu

Implemented Quick Wins #1, #2, #3, and #6 over two weeks.

- Production increased from 1,050 to 1,380 tons/day (+31%)
- Power consumption dropped from 3.1 to 2.6 kWh/ton (-16%)
- Jaw plate life extended from 8 weeks to 11 weeks (+38%)

### Case Study: 200 TPH M-Sand Plant, Karnataka

Implemented Quick Wins #5, #6, #8, and #9 over three weeks.

- Operating hours increased from 14 to 17 hours/day (+21%)
- Unplanned stoppages reduced from 8 to 3 per week (-63%)
- Daily production increased from 2,400 to 3,060 tons (+28%)

## Conclusion: Start Today, See Results Tomorrow

---

These 10 quick wins represent proven optimization strategies that require minimal investment but deliver substantial returns. The key is systematic implementation—pick the highest-priority items for your operation, implement them properly, measure the results, and move to the next opportunity.

Most plants find that implementing just 3-4 of these quick wins improves production by 20-30% within a month. That improvement compounds over time as better practices become habitual and your team develops an optimization mindset.

The best time to start is now. Pick one quick win, implement it today, and measure the results. You'll likely find the improvement so compelling that you'll be eager to implement the rest.

---

**Topics:**

#Operations

#Plant Optimization

#Productivity

#Quick Wins